

The Gavel



Newsletter of the Lycoming County Paralegal Association

THANK YOU TO ALL WHO HELPED WITH THE CHARITY PROGRAM THIS HOLIDAY SEASON!

The LCPA collected \$495.00 towards this past season's Christmas project. The LCPA contributed \$300.00. Two coats, two long sleeve tee shirts, and two \$10.00 Weis Market gift cards were also donated. Sue Jones and Pam Toseki did the shopping for the Christmas gifts for our family. It is noted that a set of sheets was also provided for the boys; however, this was not on the list of gifts. A total of \$519.37 was spent at Kmart for the gifts. \$.63 was donated to the Salvation Army kettle, \$100.00 K-mart gift card was purchased and a \$170.00 Weis Market gift card was purchased. There was \$5.00 cash remaining which was just included with the gift cards to be given to the family. Two representatives from Lycoming County Children & Youth came to pick up the gifts. A special recognition goes to the benefactors who helped give gifts to needy people during this Christmas season and they are the Honorable Dudley D. Anderson and the Honorable Richard A. Gray. The local attorneys who contributed during this holiday season are Anthony D. Miele, Esq, Christian D. Frey, Esq, and Jonathan E. Butterfield, Esq. Also, to all of those who helped in the Law Firm of Miele & Rymsza, P.C. thank you!

There is a letter in the member news section from the Lycoming Clinton Joinder Board in appreciation for the overall contributions from the legal community during this Holiday Season.

INSIDE THIS ISSUE

- Thank you Letter from Lycoming-Clinton Joinder Board
- LCPA Discussion on Pa. Certification
- Maintaining Paralegal Credentialing
- Status of Indiana's Paralegal Regulation
- What is Legal Process Outsourcing
- Disciplinary Action against N.J. Attorney for misuse of paralegal

Paralegal Work Product Protection

According to **Pa. R.C.P. 4003.4** parties are entitled to immediate copies of statements concerning the action litigated or statements concerning its subject matter. This statute and the idea that a party is to receive copies of statements made by another party in a civil suit turned into an important case involving the paralegal profession. The case *Brant v. Turnamian, Pa. Com. Pl. (1991).* This issue began from a case involving medical malpractice at York Hospital in 1986. The Defendants in this case -York Hospital- requested the delivery of a paralegal's notes.

Continued on Page 4



LCPA DISCUSSION ON PARALEGAL CERTIFICATION "Pa.C.P"

An important Lunch and Learn was given in October of 2008. The discussion was on the becoming a "Pennsylvania Certified Paralegal". Many questions were asked by the paralegals in attendance about the new state certification. The attendance was quite impressive due to the nature of this presentation. Rebecca Buttorff, RP, Chair of the Keystone Alliance of Paralegal Associations was the presenter.

Rebecca was a member of the Keystone Alliance Ad Hoc Committee on paralegal regulation. The Keystone Alliance's Committee looked into how other states standardized their paralegal profession. Also, the Ad Hoc Committee reviewed the Keystone Alliance surveys, along with member associations' surveys. The Ad Hoc Committee recommended to the Alliance that at this time, the Keystone Alliance's efforts to advance the paralegal profession would be best spent on certification. The Committee presented to the framework for the Pennsylvania Certified Paralegal credentialing program

According to *keystoneparalegals.org/certification.html* an applicant intent on certification must have one attorney who is in good standing with the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, who will vouch for that paralegal's skill level. An applicant must send a copy of transcripts from her educational institution or a copy of her diploma. In instances where this would not apply and an applicant instead holds another paralegal credential, then a copy of that certification and her current letter of good standing may be accepted.

The members of the Keystone Alliance of Paralegals Associations have worked hard to develop this credentialing program. It was the goal of the Keystone Alliance to set a standard which would encompass the level of expertise needed to be recognized as a qualified paralegal

Due to the number of paralegals with an education from some Institution this has become a major requirement for certification. An American Bar Association approved paralegal program quickly progresses an applicant to certification. It is important, if holding a Bachelors or Associates paralegal degree, to be aware of whether your program was ABA approved, if not then more substantive experience would be needed. The distinction between having a Bachelors or Associates degree in Paralegal studies is that the applicant must also have one year substantive experience for the former and three years substantive experience for the latter. If the applicant has a Bachelors or Associates degree other than in a paralegal program then they must also garner three years of experience and five years of experience, respectively. For those applicants without having attended an Educational Institution yet still aspiring to be certified as a Pennsylvania Paralegal the Keystone Alliance has created standards to be met. This Grandfathering in is allowed if the applicant has had seven years of substantive paralegal experience. There are also continuing legal education requirements as well for all applicants.

During the meeting those present learned a great deal about this new standard for Pennsylvania paralegals. The impact of this certification may have not fully been felt yet, but over time it will be.

Choosing to become certified demonstrates a commitment to the paralegal profession and provides recognition that the professional standards have been met.

PARALEGAL WORK PRODUCT PROTECTION

Continued from Page 1

These notes were composed when a witness met twice with the Plaintiff's paralegal. During these meetings, the interviewing paralegal wrote down impressions given by the witness. The witness was a friend of the Plaintiff who observed the allegations. The Defense's counsel, representing York Hospital, wanted these notes. The Plaintiff's counsel responded with a brief in opposition to the request, citing the paralegal's notes were not verbatim statements and not signed by the witness. therefore inconsequential.

According to the explanatory notes for Pa. R. C. P. 4003.3 Statements of witnesses taken by an attorney are discoverable, except for the mental impressions from that attorney. But an attorney's agents, such as an insurance investigator, may not have their notes withheld. So, the question before the court was may paralegals mental impressions be held as privileged communication.

The court refused defendants motion to compel based off of Pa. R. C. P. 4003.3, and 4003.4. The court found that because the paralegal's notes were not signed by the witness and the contents were irrelevant the order to compel was denied. This rationale is because when an attorney hires a paralegal they expect the paralegal to do work that is crucial for their preparations of the case. Thus the submitted paralegal's work becomes the hiring attorneys work leading to its privileged status.

MAINTAINING REGESITERED PARALEGAL CREDENTIALING

For those registered paralegals who never renewed their membership, the PACE standards committee has granted an amnesty period. To receive the updated credential those expired registered paralegals must first meet the following criteria.

Effective through the end of April of 2009

A renewal form can be downloaded on the website paralegals.org and with a \$25 check sent into the NFPA headquarters:

1 Batterymarch Park Quincy, MA 02169 (617) 770-3000

Continued on Page 5

WHAT IS LEGAL PROCESS OUTSOURCING?

There is a growing trend for reducing costs in large law firms and corporations legal departments. It is called Legal Processes Outsourcing (LPO). A company called Clutch Group, dealing with Global Legal Solutions, met in December of 2008 with numerous Fortune 1000 companies to discuss how the current economic recession is affecting legal work. At this conference Clutch Group President Paul Mandell spoke with the attendees about how "the legal world is evolving." The main location for outsourced legal assistance is India, due to its common law based structure, widespread use of English and high standard of Legal ethics. According to cpaglobal.com 79,000 law graduates annually enter the India workforce. This is a huge resource for major corporations and global law firms needing legal research assistance, deposition review, and even U.S. court motion preparation.

Continued on Page 6

DISCIPLINARY ACTION AGAINST ATTORNEY FOR MISUSE OF PARALEGAL

In May of 2006 a New Jersey attorney, Neal Pomper, sent his paralegal to a paternity dispute hearing. During the hearing the paralegal identified herself as a lawyer, entered an appearance on the record and was addressed as "counselor" without objecting.

After the paralegal advocated for Pomper's client the hearing officer reported the paralegals actions to the Supreme Courts Committee on the Unauthorized Practice of Law. The paralegal admitted to this Committee of practicing law without a license and calling an adjournment that day would have been the correct protocol. The District VII Ethics Committee was subsequently notified. In December of 2008 the Disciplinary Review Board has stated they may censure attorney Pomper for failure to delegate his professional duties properly.

Continued on Page 6

INDIANA REGISTERED PARALEGAL TITLE IS DECIDED

In November of 2003, the Supreme Court of Indiana received a special proposal from the Indiana Paralegal Association, Michiana Paralegal Association and the Northeast Indiana Paralegal Association. The proposal, expected to be **Rule 2.2**, would have made the title (IRP) "Indiana Registered Paralegal." The Indiana Supreme Court Rules Committee accepted to assess the paralegals' position by creating a subcommittee to review the proposed **Rule 2.2**.

The subcommittee then submitted this proposal to the Supreme Court in 2005. In September of 2008, the Indiana Paralegal Association received their answer. The Indiana State Supreme Court's Rules Committee rejected the proposal. The Court's response was that they would not, at this point in time, regulate the paralegal profession.

Continued on Page 6

MAINTING REGISTERED PARALEGAL CREDENTIALING

Continued from Page 4

Also there may be additional fees for the time period that has passed since the last renewal. The Continued Legal Education requirements must be met for the period in which none were accounted for and in the current period. Continued Legal Education (CLE) credits that were given by a NFPA approved issuer during the absentee period will be accepted, dependent on how many renewal periods were missed. The renewal period will extend two years from the last scheduled renewal. The amnesty period for an expired Registered Paralegal will end April, 30 2009. Check your wallet sized card for the next renewal date or send questions on your current Registered Paralegal status to Ann Price at *vpdp @paralegals.org*

(LPO)

Continued from Page 4

Why are large firms and high earning corporations outsourcing a portion of their Legal work? The following example sheds light on why. Trained lawyers in India are available to interested parties at \$30 an hour, excluding other fees, doing work needed by the purchaser.

According to an article written by Daniel Brook on legalaffairs.org, document coding, which requires a complex understanding of legal terminology, is 20 percent of the costs found within parts of the United States. Some companies offer to summarize depositions for \$1.50 a page. This is inexpensive compared to the rate U.S. paralegals may charge. Reasons like this make outsourcing legal jobs appear economical. Whether services outsourced in the legal field are attorneys, paralegals, or research assistants, 80,000 and upward legal jobs will be outsourced by 2015 according to reasearchandmarkets.com. This data was organized by surveying top LPO vendors.

All this is very fascinating provided we remember a majority of American client's legal work is handled within our Borders, however in populated cities and elsewhere offshore legal work is making a difference.

DISCIPLINARY ACTION AGAINST ATTORNEY

Continued from Page 5

Pomper has already been reprimanded for violating **Professional** Conduct Rule 5.5(a)(2). assisting the unauthorized practice of law, and per se 8.4(a), violating an ethics rule. Pomper was allegedly confused about the type of hearing he was sending his paralegal into. Pomper was under the assumption that an attorney was not required to be present for a hearing discussing the results of a blood test. The hearing actually addressed the client's blood test results and the paternity dispute.

However, Pomper's response to the charges is ineffectual because nonlawyers may not represent anyone receiving blood test results from a court. Pomper scolded his paralegal and has refunded his client's retainer. The Disciplinary Rules Board stated that a suspension for Pomper is unfounded. This is because his paralegal was not instructed to practice law, according to the investigation. Thus, the Disciplinary Rules Board voted 8-0 for censure, meaning a formal condemnation of his actions.

INDIANA REGISTERED PARALEGALS

Continued from page 5

However, the Court is open to reexamining this proposal in the future depending on the need for such a rule. The problem that is to be addressed, according to the Indiana Paralegal Association, is that some people can abuse this system.

The point argued by the different Paralegal Associations in Indiana is that the need for minimum standards should be part of the process to become a paralegal. Indiana already has a definition of the paralegal profession-I.C. **1-1-4-6** which is used in the state for recognition purposes. The utilization of this definition is regulated by Guideline **9.1-10**. There are numerous States with a similar approach such as Colorado, Kentucky, and New Hampshire to name a few.

The hopes of regulation in this profession will improve Attorney's measurement of their paralegals competency, leading to a higher quality profession.



MEMBER NEWS

LYCOMING - CLINTON JOINDER BOARD

SHARWELL BUILDING 200 EAST STREET WILLIAMSPORT, PENNSYLVANIA 17701-6613

JOINDER ADMINISTRATION

DEBORAH M. DUFFY MH/MR Administrator

MARK D. EGLY CYS Administrator January 5, 2009

1-800-525-7938

Fax: 570-326-9471

CHARLES F. GREEVY, III

Ms. Michele Stone Lycoming County Paralegal Association c/o Lepley, Engleman & Yaw 1401 East Third Street Williamsport, PA 17701

Dear Michele:

Please extend our heartfelt thanks to the Lycoming County Paralegal Association and its members who participated in "adopting" a family for the 2008 Christmas Gift Project. We understand from Mary Wilson that your generosity to this needy family was amazing and greatly appreciated. Through the generosity of many individuals, businesses, and organizations, such as yours, the Lycoming-Clinton Joinder was able to provide Christmas gifts to 600 children involved in services with Lycoming Children and Youth Services and/or the Lycoming-Clinton MH/MR Program. We're sure there were many happy faces on Christmas morning!

Our plans for this year's Christmas project will be to contact the past year's donors sometime in October to determine their continued interest in the project. In the event you wish to sponsor a family, we will provide you with your requested information by early November. You will be able to coordinate further details, such as gift pick up/delivery, directly with the assigned Caseworker.

Thank you again for your participation in the Christmas Gift Project. The generosity within our community was incredible! If you require any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact our Project Coordinator, Cathy Bennett, at 323-6467.

Sincerely

Mark Egly

C&Y Administrator

Deborah Duffy

MH/MR Administrator

Best wishes for the New Year!

ADAM C. COLEMAN
Vice President
JEFF C. WHEELAND
Treasurer
THOMAS H. BOSSERT
REBECCA A. BURKE
JOEL LONG

JOINDER BOARD

COMMISSIONERS

ERNEST P. LARSON President

NEW YORK CITY TO HOST FIRST ANNUAL PARALEGAL TECH CONFERENCE

This upcoming February 2nd-4th at the Sheraton New York Hotel & Towers registration begins for Paralegals located in and around New York City, and Williamsport with enough ambition. The First Annual Paralegal Technology Institute's Conference will be sponsored by Law Technology news and Estrin LegalEd. Law Technology news lawtechnews.com gives the latest details on a variety of legal software and hardware used in law firms. Estrin LegalEd estrinlegaled.com organizes seminars for paralegals employed in law firms, Fortune 1000 companies, and governmental agencies. The events in New York will focus on emerging technology that may be utilized by Paralegals in the upcoming years. Registration for the Paralegal Technology Institute also includes free admission to Legal Tech. Legal Tech is a legal technology trade show where vendors offer products to attendees. The conference's discussion will examine managing E-discovery and the tremendous amount of emails, using the latest trial prep technology and using the latest techniques in document database design as well as the latest budgeting software. NALA has approved for those able to attend 11 CLAE credits. The speaker will be Monica Bay, editor in chief of Law Technology News and she will examine how to get a competitive edge using new litigation assistive technology.

As some paralegals become more active in Law firms attending this, if financially possible, and looking into their websites will be good ways at staying abreast of advancing legal apparatuses or current law office technology.

INTERSTING READ FOR PARALEGALS

A new book titled "Lessons: From the Top Paralegal Experts: The 15 Most Successful Paralegals and What You Can Learn From Them" has been produced with the help of some of the best paralegals in our Country. Over four years the book was in process of being worked on with the help of fifteen paralegals gleaned from the profession by four prestigious judges. These highly successful paralegals, from a broad range of legal disciplines, most with over 20 years of experience, shared their secrets with author Carole Bruno.

According to an article on the book written in *usprwire.com* there are organizational tips, technology techniques, and other guidelines that are useful. The book is published by Delmar Cengage Learning and is highly recommended on *Amazon.com*. The idea behind this book is that real-world lessons from paralegals are written down for others to read and practice.

The author Carole Bruno is the President of the National Institute for Continuing Paralegal Education and has worked over 34 years in the paralegal profession.

2009 MEMBER DIRECTORY

| Last Name | First Name | Email Address | Area of Practice | Employer |
|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|--|---|
| Bowman | Ann | AnnBowman@earthlink.net | | Law Office of Patricia L. Bowman, Esq. |
| Boyd | Ronca | RoncaBoyd@yahoo.com | Penn College of Technology - Student | Penn College of Technology – Student |
| Buttorff | Rebecca | Rebeccab@lepleylaw.com | Personal Injury, Civil Litigation | Lepley, Engelman & Yaw |
| Davies | Stephanie | jskbest@verizon.net | Penn College of Technology - Student | Penn College of Technology – Student |
| DePasqua | Philip | Pdepasqua@mpvhlaw.com | Litigation Paralegal | McNerney, Page, Vanderlin & Hall |
| DeSousa | Josiane | Josieds@hotmail.com | Penn College of Technology - Student | Penn College of Technology – Student |
| Doane | Jennifer | Jend@lepleylaw.com | Penn College of Technology – Student - Civil Litigation | Lepley, Engelman & Yaw |
| Drawbaugh Redden | Catherine | credden@paelderlaw.com | Estate Administration | Marshall, Parker & Associates |
| Fleeger | Valerie | valfl221@aol.com | Real Estate and Estate Planning | Williams & Smay |
| Force | Alice | aforce@mpvhlaw.com | Estate Administration | McNerney, Page, Vanderlin & Hall |
| Frey | Michele | MicheleS@lepleylaw.com | Estate Planning, Estate Administration, Civil Actions | Lepley, Engelman & Yaw |
| Guinter | Janine | jguinter@elionwayne.com | Bankruptcy, Real Estate, Civil Trial, Commercial Litigation | Elion, Wayne, Grieco, Carlucci, Shipman, & Irwin, P.C. |
| Hovestien | Rebecca | hovreb42@pct.edu | Penn College of Technology - Student | Penn College of Technology – Student |
| Jacob | Edwin "Hank" | Jacobparalegalsvc@hotmail.com | Civil Litigation | Self-Employed / Marks, McLaughlin & Dennehy |
| Jones | Sue | Sajones4@comcast.net | Divorce, Custody, Adoption | Miele & Rymsza |
| Koziol | Janice | Jkoziole@mpvhlaw.com | Domestic Relations | McNerney, Page, Vanderlin & Hall |
| Lepley Joy | Rachael | Rachaelj@lepleylaw.com | Criminal Defense, Real Estate | Lepley, Engelman & Yaw |
| Lowry | Amber | lowamb09@pct.edu | Student | Pennsylvania College of Technology |
| Mallery | Christie | Christie@sz-law.com | Criminal Defense, Family Law | Schemery & Zicolello |
| McCoy | Cathy | Langdonelaw75@alltel.net | Real Estate, Title Searches | Law Office of J. Howard Langdon, Esq. |
| Mitstifer | Sarah | Sarah@sz-law.com | Real Estate, Workman's Compensation | Schemery & Zicolello |
| Price | Janet | Jprice@mcclaw.com | Estate Administration | McCormick Law Firm |
| Redden | Catherine | credden@paelderlaw.com | Estate Administration | Marshall, Parker & Assoc. |
| Rooney | Melissa | Melissa@cbatty.com | Domestic / Family Law | Casale and Bonner |
| Stoll | Glenda | glendas@lepleylaw.com | Litigation | Lepley, Engleman & Yaw |
| Toseki | Pam | Ptoseki@comcast.net | Bankruptcy, Federal Criminal Defense, Estates | Miele & Rymsza |
| | | | | |

2009 MEMBER DIRECTORY

| Thomas | Gordon | Thogor50@pct.edu | Paralegal Student | Pennsylvania College of Technology |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------|--|--|
| Try | Kenneth | ktry@mpvhlaw.com | Real Estate | McNerney, Page, Vanderlin & Hall |
| Weber | Shelby | Shelby@cbatty.com | Estate Administration | Casale & Bonner |
| Wooding | Wendy | Wwooding@kcnet.org | Family Law | Law Office of Patricia L. Bowman, Esq. |
| Wyant | Stephanie | Stephanie@maleelawfirm.com | Estate Administration, Estate Planning | Malee Law Firm |
| Young | Charm | Cyoung@mcclaw.com | Real Estate, Refinancing, Real Property | McCormick Law Firm |
| Sustaining | g Member | | | |
| Pennsylvania College of Technology | | | | |
| Contact: Prof. Kevin Derr | | Kderr@pct.edu | | |

CPA Board Of Directors

Stephanie Wyant

President

Janice Koziol

Vice-President

Amber Lowry

Secretary/Director

Pam Toseki

Treasurer

Ken Try

Director

Catherine D. Redden

Director

Christie Mallery

Director

Rachael Joy

Director

Rebecca Buttorff, RP

Director

Committee Chairs

Membership

Ken Trey

Bar Liaison

Janice Koziol

Continuing Education

Pam Toseki Rachael L. Joy

Newsletter Editor

Gordon E. M. Thomas

Job Bank

Christie Mallery

Finance

Pam Toseki

Catherine Redden

Service Project

Rachael L. Joy

Pro Bono

Ken Try

Keystone Alliance

Stephanie Wyant Rebecca Buttorff, RP

Check out our website at http://www.lycolaw.org/lcpa/main.htm